

C O P Y

C O P Y

THIS IS A COPY OF A TWX [REDACTED]

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

In Feb 1955 at the request of OSI, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] who had a wire recording of what was reported to be a Radio sound signal from a flying saucer. OSI analyzed the tape and said that it was a signal of known US origin. STATINTL STATINTL

STATINTL

In April of this year [REDACTED]

wrote the DCI and said he had been in touch with [REDACTED] who could not give him any info on the Tape. He wanted to know who [REDACTED] was and what the analysis of the tape had shown. [REDACTED]

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

At Air Force request (he had also written AFOIN) we wrote [REDACTED] that another Agency in Govt. had analyzed the tape and that the Air Force would be in touch with him again. (they had previously written that they knew nothing of the tape but now wanted to protect [REDACTED] identity and not indicate that [REDACTED] STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

[REDACTED]. Someone in the Air Force dropped the ball and the letter was never written. [REDACTED] wrote back asking what had happened to the response he was supposed to get from Air Force. STATINTL

STATINTL

On 5 Aug Capt. Elwood wrote [REDACTED] from Wright Field saying that [REDACTED]

is and was an Air Force Officer and that the tape "was analyzed by another Government Organization. The analysis confirmed that the recording contained only identifiable morse code with came from a known US Licensed Radio. No further reporting or action was taken on the recording."

C

O

P

Y

C

O

P

Y

STATINTL

C O P Y

Page 2

C O P Y

[REDACTED] has now written to the Asst. to the DCI and said that he has received two letters from Elwood, one in Apr saying that ATIC had never received the recording and one in Aug saying another Govt Agency has analyzed the tape. He asks who the Morse Operator was and what is the name of the Agency that did the analysis.

STATINTL

We have called Col. Baird in ATIC who originally asked that we allow ATIC to answer the query [REDACTED] He and we agree that you can probably do a better job of pacifying [REDACTED] than all the letters in the world.

STATINTL

The two difficulties are that the Agency can not be identified since he has already been told by the DCI's office that it was another Govt. Agency and the tape has been destroyed.

Please contact him as soon as possible referring to Capt. Elwood's second letter and identifying yourself as Air Force. Can't make many concrete suggestions on how to turn him off but please see if you can satisfy him once and for all. (Baird's suggestion is for you to put on the concrete Boots if all else fails.).

The DCI's office has not answered his latest letter and will not answer it if you can satisfy him. If the question arises as it might very well, you will have to tell him that the Agency in question is one which does nothing but classified work and would not be able to identify the field of its activities. Sorry to hand you such a mess but there is no other solution we can think of.

C
O
P
Y

C
O
P
Y

60068